

Edmund O. Luthy,
P. O. Box 232,
Cincinnati, O.

Oct. 29th 1914

My dear Burd:-

I have your letter of 24th and am glad to hear that our last contribution of \$100.00 was final and that Peter was to get a hearing and some sort of a decision for the money.

I have just had a reply to my letter of suggestion sent to Runge and inclose you copy also inclose you a copy of a letter received from FitzGerald yesterday; these will put you in complete touch with their opinions &c.

With reference to your suggestion to notify the members explaining the case just as it stands and to ask them to assume the obligation, pro-rate the expense and to have the Association carry the case farther, if necessary, I believe we had better await the decision in the case which comes up soon on Nov 7th.

While I fully agree with FitzGerald that all the members or land-owners and not four or five of us should stand the brunt of this contention, you well know the disposition of the outsiders in the matter and I only wish there would be some way for us to force them to stand their proportion of the expense.

I hope and rather think that FitzGerald must have mis-understood Flint to expect \$200 more to be subscribed making \$400 in all/ and I am certain that none of us directly interested understood or even would agree to such an arrangement.

Before leaving the Lake I made personal objection to Peter on his suggestion to sending out letters to the land-owners soliciting their financial aid in the case of Runge vs Newton & Ferris, and told him strictly that it was not an Association matter.

For the present we can do nothing but await the outcome of the hearing on Nov 7th upon which decision we will be guided as to what further to do.

Hoping you are all well at home and with our best regards, I am
sincerely yours,