

Edmund O. Luthy,
P. O. Box 232,
Cincinnati, O.

Oct 29th 1914

My dear FitzGerald:-

I have your letter of 25th inst and note that you are willing to pay \$10 more to Mr. Runge towards the expense in his case vs Newton & Ferris. At the same time your letter came I had a reply from Mr Runge to my letter and inclose you copy of same. You will see that Mr Runge will act in accord with my suggestion and hereafter, as plaintiff deal directly with Flint thereby obviating any complications. In this connection I advised him that you would contribute the \$10 deficiency of our last \$100 contribution ^{was} fell short by reason of the Farmers Loan & Tr CO., not paying their share? so you can mail him your check for the \$10 and if he finds it expedient he will send Peter the \$10 which he is short according to our last agreement.

I hope then, on Nov 7th we will get some kind of a decision, on which we may be guided as to whether to continue or discontinue the case. Like you I am and always was of the opinion that all our members and the land owners ought to pay in equal proportion toward this action which is for the general good of us all, but how can we bring this about if they do not, of their own free will feel obligated to assist financially and otherwise? Until we hear the result of the hearing on nov 7th we can do nothing in the matter and it is to be hoped that we may get a favorable decision and if we should, it might be possible to interest the members all in paying their pro-rata of the present expense and also subscribing enough to continue the action if necessary.

I can hardly believe that Flint could expect to get in addition to the \$200 he already received an additional \$200, making \$400 in all for this action, for such was distinctly not our agreement with him. Hurd's understanding of the agreement is just as I understood it.

Trusting you and Mrs FitzGerald are both well and with best regards to you both from Mrs Luthy and me I am,

sincerely yours,